

Wilpinjong Community Consultative Committee Meeting Minutes

Parklands Resort – Downstairs Boardroom
8 December 2014

Present: Bruce Hughes (BH)
Bev Smiles (BS)
Kim Peach (KP)
Kieren Bennetts (KB)
Blair Jackson (BJ)
Brian McDermott (BM)
Scott Lillis (SL)
David Crust (DC)
Cr Max Walker (MW) (arrived at 3.20 pm)

Absent: Nil

Apologies: Col Bailey (CB)

Invited Guests: Clark Potter (CP) - Senior Environmental Advisor, Peabody Energy, Wilpinjong
Karin Fogarty (KF) – Environmental Advisor, Peabody Energy, Wilpinjong
Jamie Lees (JL) – Director Sustainable Development, Peabody
Tanietta de Launey (TdeL) – Wilpinjong Coal Native Title Liaison Officer (arrived at 3.41 pm)

Observers: Nil

Scribe: Kellie Smith (KS)

Commenced: 3.02 pm

Chair: Lisa Andrews (LA)

| | |
|----|---|
| 1. | Welcome: LA welcomed all to the CCC. |
| 2. | Declarations of Interest Lisa Andrews – Chair approved by Director General of DP&E, paid by Peabody Energy Bruce Hughes – Resident Bev Smiles – Resident Kim Peach – Owner of Wollar Shop Kieren Bennetts – Environment and Community Manager, Wilpinjong Coal Blair Jackson – General Manager, Wilpinjong Coal Brian McDermott – Lease country from Wilpinjong Scott Lillis – Local Business Owner David Crust – National Parks and Wildlife employee Cr Max Walker – Mid-Western Regional Council Clark Potter – Senior Environmental Officer, Wilpinjong Coal Karin Fogarty – Environmental Advisor, Wilpinjong Coal Jamie Lees – Director Sustainable Development, Peabody Tanietta de Launey – Wilpinjong Coal Native Title Liaison Officer |
| 3. | Confirmation of Previous Minutes – 22 September 2014 BS requested the following amendment to the minutes be made: |

| | |
|----|---|
| | <p>Top of page 3, first bullet point, amend “BS asked if there is a report that explains where the monitoring is occurring...” to “BS asked if the correlation report will outline where the mining activity was occurring while the attended monitoring is taking place”.</p> <p>BH requested the following amendments to the minutes be made:</p> <p>Page 3, heading “Additional Noise Monitoring”, sixth bullet point, insert “(query regarding livestock being heard as no livestock at residence)”</p> <p>Page 4, eleventh bullet point, insert after “Wollar”, “only when in the wet season”.</p> <p>BH moved previous minutes, BS seconded.</p> |
| 4. | <p>Action Items:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Waste Management from demolition program to be addressed at every CCC meeting. Ongoing Action. KB to update presentation showing both the old and new attended noise monitoring sites. Action addressed in KB presentation to committee and revised presentation sent to CCC members with draft minutes (September 2014). KB to provide noise monitoring reports in easy to read format. Action ongoing as required. CP to take copy of the Pacific Environment Limited report to Wollar Store. Action completed. KB to provide Slate Gully project update at the next meeting. Action addressed in JL’s presentation to committee. <p>Business Arising:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> BS to provide Wilpinjong alternative options to feral animal control and evidence of increase. BS confirmed the issue has been discussed at the Wollar Progress Association meetings as there has been a lot of lost poultry in the past 12 months. BS suggested fox baiting take place around the perimeter of the village. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> JL advised that could not take place due to the baiting restrictions around private towns and villages. DC confirmed that there were location requirements specified in the rules surrounding 1080 baiting, and that a process of who owns what land would need to be undertaken before baiting could take place. BJ queried whether the residents are still experiencing losses of poultry as there were previously some dogs running around but he believes they have now moved on. BS advised that she believes the losses have decreased due to the residents not replacing the poultry until an arrangement regarding baiting has been made. JL advised that Wilpinjong is currently looking at an option regarding property clusters and how to develop a good baiting program in conservation areas. KB to speak to State Rail regarding the campers’ rubbish being left behind. KB advised that he has spoken with State Rail and followed up with an email. Action updated for KB to circulate information to the committee. BS to collate questions regarding the Global Acoustics noise report, and send to KB. BS confirmed the report had been discussed at the Wollar Progress Association meetings and there are two key areas of confusion: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The example provided of Echo Point in the Blue Mountains to discount that noise does not bounce off the rock faces in the Wollar area as the angle of the rock faces have not been assessed. Clarification required as to how the cloud cover does not affect noise. BH has noticed each time he hears noise, there is either cloud cover at this place, or cloud cover towards the mine. <p>Action raised for KB to request answers from Global Acoustics for discussion at the next meeting.</p> |
| 5. | <p>Correspondence In:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 24/10/2014 – Email from KB to SL, and BS (LA cc’d in) with a copy of the Noise Report for the Wollar Progress Association. 26/10/2014 – Email from BS regarding the Noise Report. <p>Correspondence Out:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 21/10/2014 – Email to CCC members with Minutes of the last meeting (including the presentation), Noise Report and Project Approval. Hard copies sent to BH. 25/11/2014 – Email to CCC members with Meeting Notice and Agenda, also requesting RSVPs for the evening’s meal. 2/12/2014 – Email from KB, forwarded to CCC members with the information about the PAC’s approval of Modification 6. Hard copies sent to Bruce Hughes. |
| 6. | <p>Report by Kieren Bennetts</p> |

Aerial Overview of the site

- KB advised that an updated aerial photograph will be taken between Christmas and New Year and provided at the next meeting.
- BS queried the general number of machines working in Pit 5 at one time. KB confirmed that generally there is 1 digger in the south of the pit, and 1 in the north of the pit. 4-5 trucks and 1 dozer support each digger, however if there are no diggers, there may be 4-6 dozers pushing dependant on the mining sequence.

Noise Monitoring – Locations (new Noise Management Plan)

- KB showed the new noise monitoring locations.

Attended Noise Monitoring conducted on 11 – 12 September 2014

- Summary of Compliance
 - The monitoring was conducted during night at seven sites.
 - The duration of all measurements was 15 minutes.
 - Mine (low level continuum) was audible at some sites during monitoring.
 - Non mining related noise sources also audible during monitoring including aircraft, livestock, frogs and insects.
 - All monitored levels were below project approval noise limits.
- Operational Noise Assessment
 - Wind speed and/or estimated temperature inversion conditions resulted in criteria not always being applicable.
 - Wilpinjong Coal Project (WCP) complied with noise limits at the monitoring locations the monitoring period.
- Low Frequency Assessment
 - One of the measurements occurred during which WCP was measurable (not “inaudible”, “not measureable” or less than a maximum cut-off value of 30 dB), was within 5 dB of the relevant criterion and where meteorological conditions resulted in criteria applying (in accordance with the project approval). This result was analysed for low frequency content. Due to another noise source present during the measurement, there was no low frequency penalty applied. As such, no further assessment of low frequency noise was undertaken.

Attended Noise Monitoring conducted on 28 – 29 October 2014

- Summary of Compliance
 - The monitoring was conducted during the night at seven sites.
 - The duration of all measurements was 15 minutes.
 - Mine (low level continuum) was audible at some sites during monitoring.
 - Non mining related noise sources also audible during monitoring including aircraft, livestock, frogs and insects.
 - All monitored levels were below project approval noise limits.
- Operational Noise Assessment
 - Wind speed and/or estimated temperature inversion conditions resulted in criteria not always being applicable.
 - WPC complied with noise limits at the monitoring locations during the monitoring period.
- Low frequency Assessment
 - None of the measurements occurred during which WCP was measureable (no “inaudible”, “not measureable” or less than a maximum cut-off value of 30 dB), was within 5 dB of the relevant criterion and where meteorological conditions resulted in criteria applying (in accordance with the project approval). No further assessment of low frequency noise was undertaken.

BS raised a query as to why trains are never present when attended noise monitoring is taking place. CP confirmed that the monitoring stops when trains and cars are passing and recommences once passed.

SL queried the reference to ‘some sites’ in the Summary of Compliance. KB confirmed those sites were the ones included in the white square on the Noise Monitoring – Location (new NMP) map, and will send out a copy of the report to the committee.

Correlation Noise Monitoring conducted between 10 – 12 September 2014

- Summary
 - Following concerns raised by the Wollar Progress Association, noise monitoring was undertaken by Global Acoustics at two monitoring locations nominated by Department of Planning & Environment (DP&E) in the Wollar village to validate whether the noise levels measured by the Sentinex unit are representative of the broader village area.
 - WCP mining noise levels determined during concurrent attended monitoring at the Sentinex and receptor locations indicated that mining noise levels at Sentinex unit 33 were within 1 to 2 dB of the mining noise levels measured at 914 Barigan Street, and were equal to those measured at 953 Barigan Street.

- The Sentinex unit is considered to be generally representative of the noise environment in the Wollar area, as demonstrated by measurements at 914 and 953 Barigan Street, during meteorological conditions occurring during the correlation monitoring.
- These occasional variations in noise level are not expected to be perceptible, based on the principle that 3 dB is the change in loudness where the difference is just perceptible to the normal ear (Bies and Hansen, 1988).

BH noted that the noise issue is a result of the residents of Wollar not being used to the noise, particularly at night. BS raised her concern that the difference between 1 and 2 dB at 914 Barigan is legally a compliance issue due the fact that there is a difference in noise level. BM called for the Wollar resident committee members to table ideas on how to reduce the noise inside their homes as there are many complaints, but the mine is operating within compliance. BH noted that a house cannot be fully sound proofed, and that the only solution was for the mine to stop operations at night, but understood that was not an option. BH acknowledged the mine is compliant. No further ideas were delivered.

- A Correlation Noise Monitoring report which included a table outlining the location of the monitoring, start date and time, total number of trucks, dig units, dozers and auxiliary operating within each pit and site wide was provided to the committee members on USB drive for review.

BS noted that the residents believed that the village was noisier when mining was taking place in Pit 3/Pit 7; however the correlation report did not represent that. BS also noted that while the correlation monitoring was occurring there were no dozers operating in Pit 3 or Pit 7, no excavators operating in Pit 7 and very few truck movements. The residents believe that the more monitoring is undertaken the quieter the village is and are happy with more monitoring to take place. JL confirmed that there will always be changes to the noise monitoring results because of constant changes within the mine i.e. number of machinery working, location of equipment, etc. Therefore the noise levels from any correlation noise report will always be different; however they would still be representative of the real time noise monitor as demonstrated through the current monitoring program. JL also confirmed that the Sentinex unit is registering higher noise levels than the attended monitors are by approximately 1dB. Once a level of 33 dB is registered, an alarm sounds and investigation undertaken. Should the noise be generated from the mine, operational changes shall be made to ensure noise levels remain compliant. BJ confirmed and noted that regardless of what monitoring is being undertaken, once the Sentinex registers 33 dB, operations are modified.

Real Time Noise Monitoring

- Real Time Noise Monitoring Locations

- A map outlining the locations was provided being Araluen Road, Wollar Central and Wandoona.

BH queried the need for a real time monitor at Araluen Road as only mine employees live there. BJ and JL both confirmed a family that are not associated with the mine also live there.

Environmental Monitoring Review

- Dust Monitoring

- Depositional dust gauges
 - compliant
- Hi volume air samplers
 - compliant
- TEOM's (real time dust monitors)
 - 1 exceedence on 15/11/2014 – however determined to be a regional event resulting from bushfire activity (spikes in PM10 in Bathurst & Merriwa) EPA notified.

Operational Downtime 22 September 2014 to 3 December 2014

(does not include associated equipment stood down – i.e. trucks, graders, attended plant or contractor operations)

- 135.27 digger/loader and dozer hours lost (does not include Keylah)

- Breakdown by event
 - 95 % dust
 - 5% noise
- No. of hours lost per pit (does not include Keylah)
 - Pit 2 – 88 hrs (65%)
 - Pit 3 – 27.58 hrs (21%)
 - Pit 4 – 9.77 hrs (7%)
 - Pit 5 – 9.92 hrs (7%)

Community Complaints

- 17 complaints for the period 22 September to 3 December 2014 *(some complaints raised multiple issues)*

- Break down of complaints by type
 - 6 (27%) - dust
 - 6 (27%) – noise

- 6 (27%) – odour / spon com
- 2 (9%) – blast / vibration
- 1 (5%) – blast / overpressure
- 1 (5%) – traffic
- Complaints breakdown – by location
 - 7 (41%) - Barigan Street, Wollar
 - 3 (17%) – Barnett Street, Wollar
 - 1 (6%) - Winchester Crescent, Cooks Gap
 - 1 (6%) – Ridge Road, Cooks Gap
 - 1 (6%) – O’Briens Crossing, Wollar
 - 1 (6%) – Cooks Gap
 - 1 (6%) – Mogo Road, Wollar
 - 1 (6%) – Ringwood Road, Wollar
 - 1 (6%) – Ulan-Wollar Road

A graph showing “Complaints by Person” was also included.

BH queried the complaint on Ringwood Road. KB confirmed it was in relation to noise.

Recent Environmental Incidents

- 28 September 2014

A small volume of overburden and coal was extracted beyond the coal extraction boundary as defined by the Mine Operations Plan (MOP). The incident was notified and reported to the Department of Resources and Energy.

- 6 November 2014

On 3 June 2014 the Department of Resources and Energy (Department) alleged that Wilpinjong Coal Mine constructed and operated an overburden emplacement without the required approval from the Department. Commenced investigation. On 6 November 2014 the Department determined that Wilpinjong Coal Pty Ltd constructed an overburden emplacement prior to the emplacement being approved in a MOP, fine issued to WCPL.

A map displaying the incident locations was provided.

Keylah Dump

- Digging commenced on 12 November 2014.
- 174,000 bcm rehandled, approximately 14% of the estimated 1.2 bcm.
- 130 dig hours worked – behind plan by 528 total available dig hours. 47.8 hours lost due to environmental reasons.
- The material is being rehandled by the plan.
- Image captured by the Drone on 14 December 2014 was provided as were others from 20 November, 27 November, and 4 December showing the progress.

BH queried whether there had been any flare ups whilst rehandling Keylah Dump. KB confirmed that some hot material had been reached, however controls were in place to work around any issues. BH said his query was due to a resident telling him they had seen a dozer push hot material off the side of the dump, and the dozer was barely visible. KB confirmed that was possible, as there is a high ash content in material. BH also queried whether a fire management and other plans were in place to avoid the hot material being blown towards Wilpinjong Creek. KB confirmed that if there is a chance of that happening due to the weather conditions, then working on Keylah dump does not occur happen. Two Government departments have reviewed and signed off on the Keylah Dump Management Plan, and Wilpinjong is working to that plan. BJ confirmed that KB was correct. BH queried whether the water being used on the hot material was causing some of the smell. BJ confirmed that it was, and that Wilpinjong is being transparent about it.

Rehabilitation Update

Organic Growth Medium (“OGM”)

- A map was provided displaying the locations of OGM distribution and seeding.
- Approximately 44 ha completed.

2014 Rehabilitation

- Approximately 41 ha to be at Final Surface Level by year end, to be seeded in the New Year – seed mix to be determined dependant on climatic conditions.

Pit 3 Levee trial

- Bitumen emulsion product similar to the product used by Mid-Western Regional Council roadwork sites is being applied.
- The product provides stabilisation, and allows for seed to germinate.

Environmental Management Plans – Update

Noise Management Plan

- Final review and submission to DP&E on 2/12/2014.

Blast Management Plan & Air Quality Management Plan

- Final review and submission to DP&E on 2/12/2014.

Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Management Plan

- Addressing comments from Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) prior to final submission and approval from DP&E.

Water Management Plans

- Final review and submission to DP&E on 4/12/2014.

Waste Management Plans

- Addressing comments from DP&E prior to final submission and approval.

Biodiversity Management Plan

- Final review and submission of management plans to DP&E on 3/12/2014.

Spontaneous Combustion Management Plan

- Due for submission to DP&E on 31/12/2014.

Project Approval Modification 6 and Mine Operations Plan – Update

- Project Approval
 - Wilpinjong Coal sought to vary its Project Approval under s75W of the EP&A Act 1979.
 - During October 2014 DP&E referred the modification (MOD 6) to the Planning Assessment Commission (PAC). On 21 November 2014, the PAC approved this modification. Wilpinjong Coal received notification of approval on the 25th November 2014.
- Mine Operations Plan
 - Following the approval of MOD 6, Wilpinjong Coal sought an amendment to its Mine Operations Plan (MOP Amendment B) from the NSW Trade and Investment (Division of Resources & Energy). The Amendment to the MOP was approved 26 November 2014.
 - The amended document was provided to the committee members in electronic form on USB drive.

Exploration Update

- Slate Gully Exploration Survey
 - 2014 exploration coal quality results indicated heat affected coal in one borehole in Slate Gully.
 - Historic aeromagnetic flyover data indicated possible igneous intrusions.
 - Opportunity arose in late November to conduct a ground magnetic and radiometric survey to determine the presence of any igneous activity:
 - Dykes
 - Sills
 - Plugs
 - Ground magnetic survey
 - 10m line spacing.
 - Backpack mounted equipment.
 - Radiometric survey
 - 20m line spacing.
 - Quad bike mounted equipment.

Data is currently being processed and final results due at the end of December 2014 will indicate potentially igneous areas. These can be further investigated with exploration drilling.
- 2015 Exploration
 - Looking to commence drilling in Q1 2015.
 - Drilling will take a few months.
 - Environmental due diligence, approvals and permits will get underway in Q1 2015.

BH queried whether the drillers ever come across water. JL confirmed a small amount is sometimes found.

Community Donations

- October 2014
 - Lifeskills Plus - \$1960.00 for the purchase of 52 meat/seafood trays for the weekly raffle at the Lawson Hotel.
 - Wollar Progress Association - \$1591.67 being a reimbursement of the annual insurance premium for the community hall.
 - Gulgong RSL Club (Gold Nugget Business Awards 2014) - \$3000.00 as major sponsor of the awards.
 - Barnardos Mudgee Branch - \$860.00 for 20 Christmas hams from FoodService Central for families in need.
- November 2014
 - Cooks Gap Fire Brigade - \$780.00 for the purchase of 4 emergency response/trauma packs.

| | |
|----|---|
| | <p>Total: \$ 8,191.67 Year to Date: \$ 104,429.56</p> <p><u>Have a Chat</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1st Thursday of the month between 1.30 pm and 4.30 pm at the Wollar Store (except for January 2015 which will be held Thursday 8 January due to New Year's day public holiday). • For bookings see Kim at the Wollar Store. |
| 7. | <p>Report by Jamie Lees</p> <p><i>JL handed out the Wilpinjong Coal Community Fact Sheet, December 2014, Issue 1</i></p> <p><u>Modification 6 & Mine Operations Plan (MOP)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MOD 6 primarily comprises an increase from 15 Mtpa to 16 Mtpa ROM coal. • PAC site visit and public meeting completed in mid-November (12 – 13 November 2014). • Modification 6 approved by the PAC on 21 November with updated approval conditions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ requirement for a Spontaneous Combustion Mgt Plan; and ◦ amended meteorological exclusion conditions (as requested by EPA). • Amended MOP was submitted to DR&E to ensure mine operations were consistent with Modification 6 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ MOP approved by DR&E on the 26 November 2014. <p><u>Wilpinjong Extension Project</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Project would include the following activities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ approximately 800 ha of open cut extensions including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ approximately 500 ha of incremental extensions to the existing open cut pits; and ▪ development of a new open cut pit (Pit 8) of approximately 300 ha. ◦ Continued production of approximately 16 Mtpa of ROM coal. ◦ Production and rail transport of approximately 13 Mtpa of thermal product coal. ◦ Continued use of the CHPP and general mine infrastructure. ◦ Relocation of a section of the TransGrid Wollar to Wellington 330 kV transmission line (ETL). ◦ Local infrastructure relocations (e.g. realignment of Ulan-Wollar Road and associated rail level crossings, relocation of local ETLs and services). ◦ Construction and operation of additional mine access roads from Ulan-Wollar Road to service mining facilities located in Pits 5 and 8. ◦ Construction and operation of new ancillary infrastructure - ROM pads, haul roads, electricity supply, light vehicle roads, access tracks, remote crib huts, upslope diversions, dams, pipelines and other water management structures. ◦ Extension of the approved mine life by approximately seven years. ◦ A peak operational workforce of approximately 625 people (additional 75). ◦ Construction workforce of up to approximately 100 people. • A map showing the current and proposed activities was displayed. • Aspects of Project definition progressing, including 330 kV ETL relocation study by TransGrid. • Recent Project Milestones: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Site Verification Certificate (certifying that potential open cut extension areas are not biophysical strategic agricultural land) - issued by DP&E in October 2014. ◦ Project Summary and Preliminary Environmental Assessment to request EIS Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs) - lodged with the DP&E in October. • EPBC Act referral to be submitted in December for Federal Government controlled action decision. • Key baseline studies and impact assessments progressing (e.g.): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Noise and Blasting Assessment - SLR Consulting conducting initial noise modelling. ◦ Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Assessment - Todoroski Air Sciences preparing air quality modelling. ◦ Groundwater Assessment - HydroSimulations developing a new groundwater model. ◦ Surface Water Assessment – WRM Water & Environment conducting a review of recent on-site water transfers. ◦ On-site fieldwork for ecology assessments (flora, fauna and aquatic ecology) largely complete by HunterEco, Biodiversity Monitoring Services and BioAnalysis. ◦ Potential biodiversity offset identification studies are continuing. ◦ Historic (non-Aboriginal) heritage site surveys completed by Niche. ◦ Aboriginal heritage site surveys completed with registered Aboriginal parties by South East Archaeology. <p>BH queried whether the proposed public road realignment had been approved in the under the water assessment. JL confirmed some of the Ulan Wollar road had been approved to be realigned in the original Project Approval. The location of the road is still conceptual until the detail design is prepared.</p> <p>BH queried whether an earth noise bund would be constructed along the hauling road at Pit 8. JL confirmed that at</p> |

| | |
|----|---|
| | <p>present, scenarios are being run regarding the impact of the haul road and what mitigating actions will be suitable to reduce noise impacts. The social impact assessment will take place in early 2015. BH queried whether Peabody would be open to holding meetings. JL confirmed at this stage a combination of consultation methods are being considered including landholder to landholder meetings, newsletters, and drop in meetings at the Wollar Store.</p> <p>BM queried the blue line boundary provided on the map of the Wilpinjong Extension Project. JL confirmed it is the project approval boundary; the boundary where project related activities will occur. BM also queried the zig zag feature of the eastern boundary line. JL confirmed the only reason for that was to line up with the cadastral boundaries of the properties.</p> <p>BS queried whether the haulage road would be in the northern side of Pit 8. JL confirmed there would be two haul roads. One in the north between Pit 3 and Pit 8, and another in the south between Pit 7 and Pit 8.</p> <p>BS queried if the access road in Pit 8 was a public road. JL confirmed it was for mine access only.</p> <p>BS queried where the southern haul road was now on the map. JL confirmed that original southern haul road route had been deemed not feasible therefore has been removed. BJ confirmed that the issue with long hauling is that the higher the Tonnes Per Kilometre (TPKs) the more wear on the trucks tyres etc. Therefore, the trucks either have to slow down, or the loads are lightened. BH queried whether a conveyor system could be used rather than haul trucks. JL confirmed that a conveyor system had been considered however it was determined not to be feasible. BH queried why mining could not just happen in one pit at a time. JL confirmed that reason is due to coal quality and logistics of mining to achieve the production rates.</p> <p>BS queried whether the noise model will be looking at the haul roads. JL confirmed that a number of staged plans are developed and provided to the noise modeller</p> <p>BM queried whether the black line down the middle of the plan was the powerline. JL confirmed that it was.</p> <p>BS queried what issues in the Baseline assessments triggered the EPBC referral. JL confirmed they were in relation to impacts in Matters of National Significance i.e. Critical Endangered Ecological Communities or impacts to water. BS queried if JL was expecting any great surprises in the SEARS. JL confirmed that they had been monitoring recent SEARS issued to other projects, however if there are additional requirements then the project team would respond accordingly. SL queried whether any work in relation to water would be completed. JL advised that modelling is currently being done. There are 2 piezos in the south with data loggers. The data is being downloaded monthly. BS queried whether the water modelling would be worked in with Moolarben and Ulan. JL confirmed it was, and that Moolarben's is more relevant to Wilpinjong. BH was concerned about the risk of dewatering the whole water system.</p> <p><u>Social Impact Assessment</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A Social Impact Assessment is also being conducted by Elliot Whiteing (external consultants) to identify the Project's potential impacts and benefits in relation to community values and social issues. • Initial data collection from employees and some consultation with service providers in the region has commenced. • Representatives of Elliot Whiteing will be seeking input from residents in Wollar to provide input to the Social Impact Assessment in early 2015. <p>JL noted that a lot more activity will begin happening in the project, and that it is important to keep the CCC members informed. BS queried whether there would still be four meetings next year. LA confirmed there would be. Dates to be set at the end of the meeting. BS noted that Excel Coal brought experts to community meetings to discuss certain issues, and enquired if that could happen. JL advised that this suggestion would be considered and he would see what could be arranged.</p> <p>BS requested if the noise modeller could be at the meeting in March 2015 to explain the assumptions in the model. BH suggested that a community meeting be held with the experts, the members then have time to absorb the information, and then come back for one on one discussions. JL suggested that "Have a Chat" could be used for those meetings, and will look at what the best options are. BS noted that the community appreciated the presentation with Global Acoustics.</p> |
| 8. | <p>General Business:</p> <p><u>Water being pumped off site</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BM queried whether Wilpinjong Coal pumped any water off site on Thursday night / Friday morning (4th / 5th December 2014) as Wilpinjong Creek was really red on the morning of Friday 5th December 2014. KB advised that he would check this, as everything discharged had to comply with the EPL. An action was raised. • BM confirmed that Margaret Reid's place had received 47 mm of rain overnight, so it could have come from there. BH confirmed he had phoned another resident who had confirmed the water was clear at their place; however it was muddy at BH's. • BS enquired what volume of water is discharged each day. KB confirmed it is no more than 1 Megalitre a day. <p><u>Consideration of Conditions imposed on Moolarben Coal Stage 2</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BS queried whether Wilpinjong were familiar with their requirements under Moolarben Coal's Stage 2 approval conditions whereby Wilpinjong and Ulan were to work with Moolarben to prepare various reports in relation to |

| | |
|-----|---|
| | <p>water.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> JL confirmed he was not familiar with the requirements however each site would have their own various reports. <p><u>Wilpinjong Creek</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> BS queried whether there were going to be any large changes to Wilpinjong Creek, and if so whether anything would be done in the water modelling. JL confirmed that any upstream influences would be factored into the assessments. <p><u>Water Licences</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> BS queried whether Wilpinjong had adequate water licences available as there would be a whole range of water issues with the Wilpinjong Extension Project. JL confirmed Wilpinjong hold existing water licences and will look at this issue as the process progresses. <p><u>Welcoming of Cr Max Walker to the Community Consultation Committee</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> LA welcomed Cr Walker from the Mid-Western Regional Council to the committee. MW advised he has 12-14 years' experience within the council and is interested in the Committee. <p><u>Noise in relation to the railway repairs</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> KP contacted the railway requesting a plan of when the repairs would be taking place. They advised her monthly. KP requested Wilpinjong following up and ask for dates if possible so the community can be aware and make other arrangements if the noise is going to be too much. <p><u>Protocol Regarding Complaint Process</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> BS queried the complaint process protocol in relation to receiving following up information as complainants do not always receive a follow up call. CP confirmed that it is useful to include a request for a follow up in call in the complaint process as not all complainants want a call. BS queried whether that request is required throughout each of stage of the complaint process. CP confirmed it was. <p><u>Decision making process for Community Donations</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> BS queried what input the CCC members had in relation to the donations fund as Ulan held a meeting with their CCC and ran a process to find out the community's priorities. LA confirmed it was a different process outside of the CCC. JL advised that it is an internal process, with a donations committee appointed. BJ welcomed proposals from the CCC which will be considered in the same manner as all received. |
| 9. | <p>2015 Meetings</p> <p>The 2015 meeting dates were set for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monday 16th March 2015 Monday 15th June 2015 Monday 21st September 2015 Monday 7th December 2015 <p>SL queried whether any additional meetings could be held throughout the year due to the Wilpinjong Extension Project. JL suggested that an extraordinary meeting be set at the March 2015 if required.</p> |
| 10. | <p>Meeting Closed: 4.44 pm</p> |

Action Items List

| Agreed Action Items/Outcome | Who | When |
|---|------------|-----------------------|
| Waste Management from demolition program to be addressed at every CCC meeting. | KB | Ongoing |
| KB follow up query by BH regarding livestock being heard in the noise monitoring when no livestock are at the residence. | KB | Prior to next meeting |
| KB request answers to the questions raised by BS on behalf of Wollar Progress Association from Global Acoustics for discussion at next meeting. | KB | Prior to next meeting |
| KB sent out Global Acoustics' Environmental Noise Monitoring report of October 2014 to the committee. | KB | Prior to next meeting |
| KB make enquiries as to whether water was pumped off site on Thursday night (4 December) or Friday morning (5 December) due to Wilpinjong Creek being very red. | KB | Prior to next meeting |
| JL to have the Wilpinjong Extension Project map provided in the Community Factsheet updated to include the 330kv power line location. | JL | Prior to next meeting |
| KB contact the railway and request a plan outlining dates of repairs to be passed onto KP. | KB | ASAP |
| KB to send out ARTC response received via email in relation to the rubbish at the workers camps to the CCC. | KB | Prior to next meeting |